

Al-Muthanna J. For Agric Sci

Print ISSN: 2226-4086 Vol. 10 , Supplement 1.2023 Online ISSN:2572-5149

http://doi.org/10.52113/mjas04/10.s1/4

# Effect of organic, mineral and bio-fertilizer and their interaction on growth, and some quality characters of potato Solanum tuberosum L. cv. (Burren)

**Rawaa Ghalib Majeed** 

Ahmed Salih Ahmed

rawa@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

Department of Horticulture, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad

Received on 07/11/2022 Accepted on 18/12/2022 Published on 1/03/2023

# Abstract

The experiment was conducted in the greenhouse of the Department of Horticulture and Garden Engineering - College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences - University of Baghdad in the spring season 2020-2021 within randomized complete block (RCBD) to study the effect of the foliar application with (Humic), biofertilizer (Biohealth) and balanced mineral fertilizer (NPK) 20:20:20 and the interaction among them on growth and yield of potatoes (Burren) cultivar, After completing the laboratory and field study indicators, the averages were compared to calculate the least significant difference (L.S.D) at 5% significance level. The results were as follows:

The interaction between humistar and NPK was significantly superior in leaf chlorophyll content (0.916 mg.gm<sup>-1</sup>), while the number of stems per plant was not significantly different between NPK treatment and biofertilizer and triple interaction (3.33 stem. plant<sup>-1</sup>), While there were significant differences among the rest of the experimental treatments, while the treatment of biofertilizers was significantly superior in the stem diameter which gave (0.833 mm).

The root length characters was superior in treatment of interaction between the biofertilizer and the NPK with significant difference compared to other treatments (37.00 cm), while the biofertilizer treatment significantly increased the weight of the roots by giving (91.00 gm). The percentage of soluble solids in tubers was highest in the treatment of triple interaction (organic, mineral and biological) with significant difference compared to the rest of the treatments (17.10%).

The treatment of NPK and the interaction with the organic acid were significantly superior in leaf nitrogen and nitrate content by giving (1.85% and 125.67 ppm), whereas The leaf content of potassium showed that the interaction between organic acid and NPK and the triple interaction treatment significant differences compared to the rest of the experimental treatments which gave (1.78%).

.Keywords: Humic acids, NPK, bio-fertilizers, organic fertilizers, potato.

# Introduction

Concerns are usually focused on meeting the needs of the growing population of food and combating undernourishment without paying attention to the environmental risks that threaten the ecosystem first and human health. Recent efforts have therefore been directed at providing equal attention to the ecosystem because it is the main reason for the existence of life on our planet. One of the most important concerns of the consumer is the use of vegetables for nutritional reasons (1), Therefore, the tendency to grow potato crops and work to increase the quantitative and qualitative production to face the food crisis associated with the population developing growing in countries because it is a source of energy contains carbohydrates, because it vitamins, proteins, amino acids, salts and minerals (2).

Challenges have also crystallized to reduce the dangers of pollution from the use of chemical fertilizers, so the importance of organic fertilizers emerged humic acid because of its rapid effect harmless to humans, animals and plants, and because it contains nutrients that increase plant growth Plant (3). Observed a significant increase in the percentage of dry matter, starch, NPK concentration and the yield of Aladin cultivar when sprayed with liquid humic acid (4). Also (5) in the study of potato plant found significant superiority in the characters of the number of marketable tubers and the total yield per plant using  $3 \text{ ml.L}^{-1}$  of humic acid

The use of bio-fertilizers has recently emerged to regulate production, protect the environment and produce pollutant-free crops for their role in supplying plants with elements and transforming them into ready-to-absorb form, In addition to the protection provided by some pathogens, which leads to lower production costs and reduce environmental pollution (6 and 7).(8) also found that inoculation of potatoes with bio-fertilizers improved vegetative growth. dry matter and carbohydrate content, which positively reflected on potato yield, and increased NPK using bio-fertilizers.

Therefore, the experiment aims to study the effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers separately and then study their combined effect on the growth and yield of potato crop.

# Materials and working methods

The experiment was conducted in the greenhouse of the Department of Horticulture and Garden Engineering -College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences - University of Baghdad at spring season 2020-2021. The tubers were planted on 4/2/20 on the terraces of 4 m long and 1 within randomized complete m wide. block (RCBD) .the distance between the tuber and another 25 cm and a depth of 10 cm and in accordance with the cultivated cultivar to study the effect of the foliar application with (Humic), biofertilizer (Biohealth) and balanced mineral fertilizer (NPK) 20:20:20 and their interaction on growth and yield of potatoes (Burren) cultivar. After completing the laboratory and field study indicators, the averages were compared to calculate the least significant difference (L.C.D) at 5% significance level. Using SAS program in statistical analysis..

#### Table (1) Experiment treatments, symbols and details of each treatment.

treatment	symbols	Details	
T1	Н	organic nutrient treatment (Humic) 5-10 l.ha <sup>-1</sup>	
T2	В	biofertilizertreatment (Biohealth) 40 gm.1 <sup>-1</sup>	
T3	С	balanced mineral fertilizer treat. (NPK) 20:20:20	
T4	HB	Interaction treatment between organic nutrient treatment and biofertilizertreatment	
Τ5	HC	Interaction treatment between organic nutrient treatment and balanced mineral fertilizer treatment.	
Τ6	BC	Interaction treatment between biofertilizerand balanced mineral fertilizer treatment treatment and	
Τ7	HBC	Triple interaction among between organic nutrient treatment, biofertilizer treatment and balanced mineral fertilizer treatment	

#### **Study parameters:**

- 1. Number of main stems. (Stem Plant<sup>-1</sup>)
- stem diameter (mm): was measured using an electronic (vernier) from the central stem area.
- 3. Concentration of Chlorophyll in leaves mg/100gm: With using Spectrophotometer and according to the method (9).
- 4. Root length: using tape measure
- 5. Root Weight (gm).
- 6. NPK content in leaves Using a device (Microkjeldahi) by distillation process (10).

- 8. Nitrate leaves content: The method described by (11) was applied.
- 9. Nitrate content in tubers.

7. NPK content in tubers

10. T.S.S: Using the Hand Refractometer according to the method mentioned in (12).

**Results and discussion** 

vegetative growth indicators and leaf chlorophyll content of potato plant Burren cultivar.				
	Number of main stems (Stem Plant	stem diameter	Chlorophyll	
Qualities	')	(mm)	content	
			mg.100g <sup>-1</sup>	
Transactions				
С	3.33	0.567	0.813	
Н	3.00	0.600	0.860	
В	3.33	0.833	0.856	
НС	2.33	0.800	0.916	
BC	2.33	0.767	0.866	
НВ	2.00	0.767	0.830	
НВС	3.33	0.733	0.916	
L.S.D	NS	0.2202	0.03256	

Table (2): Effect of organic, mineral and bio-fertilizer and their interaction on some vegetative growth indicators and leaf chlorophyll content of potato plant Burren cultivar.

It is noted from Table (2) that the interaction between humistar and NPK was significantly superior compared to other treatments and without significant with triple difference interaction (biomedical, organic and mineral) in total leaf chlorophyll content which gave (0.916 mg.  $g^{-1}$ ) for both treatments. As for the number of stems, it was noticed that there were no significant in character of number of main stems, while the treatment of biocatalysts was significantly superior in the diameter of the stem that gave (0.833)mm). This is due to the increase in chlorophyll ratio to the treatment of organic and mineral interaction because these fertilizers contain the three necessary elements NPK, which encourages the increase of the manufacture of Porphyrins rings which are the basis in the construction of chlorophyll and cytochrome which is important for carbon metabolism and respiration (13). The effect may be due to the small number of stems of these treatments. The diameter of the stem the biocatalyst treatment gave the highest value due to its role in processing the elements, increasing the secretions of growth regulators and improving the absorption of water and nutrients, thus increasing photosynthesis and thus increasing the manufacture of carbohydrates (14).

Table (3): Effect of organic, mineral and bio-fertilizer and their interaction on some root growth indicators of potato plant Bureen cultivar.

Qualities	Root length	Root Weight (gm)	T.S.S
Transactions	( <b>cm</b> )		
			(%)
С	31.67	81.33	15.83
Н	32.33	35.33	16.10
В	30.33	91.00	16.63
НС	28.33	32.33	17.06
BC	37.00	32.76	16.40
НВ	20.33	44.33	15.80
НВС	25.33	74.33	17.10
L.S.D	3.810	4.508	0.3162

The results of Table (3) showed that BC treatment was significantly superior than the other treatments in root length which reached (37.00 cm), While B treatment was significantly superior on root weight as it gave (91.00 gm). The percentage of solid material in tubers the highest value obtained from triple interaction (biomedical, organic and mineral) and a significant difference compared to the rest of the treatments by giving (17.10%). This may be due to the increased root length of the treatment of bilateral interaction between chemical fertilizer and biocatalyst because of its effect on changing the soil

pH surrounding the roots and increase the movement of elements and plant enrichment (14), it can also be explained that the amino acids and sugars secreted by the roots increase the response of bacteria chemically (15), As for the percentage of solid material percentage in tubers, it is a reflection of the effect of the three fertilizers (organic, mineral and bio) and their favorable conditions for the absorption of water and nutrients and the improvement of soil properties. The effect of this on the indicators of vegetative growth Table (2), which reflected positively on (T.S.S) (16).

 Table (4): Effect of Organic, Mineral and Bio Fertilizers and their Interaction on NPK

 and Nitrate Content in leaves of Potato Plant Burren cultivar.

Qualities	Nitrogen	leaves	Phosphorus	potassium	leaves	Nitrate	leaves
	content		leaves content	content		content	
Transactions							
С	1.85		0.53	1.75		123.33	
Н	1.84		0.54	1.77		122.33	

В	1.84	0.52	1.73	122.33
НС	1.85	0.53	1.78	125.67
BC	1.84	0.54	1.75	120.00
HB	1.81	0.53	1.73	120.67
HBC	1.82	0.53	1.78	121.67
L.S.D	0.02079	NS	0.04130	3.294

It is noticed from Table (4) that the treatment of NPK and the interaction with organic acid were significantly superior in the nitrogen and nitrate content of leaves which gave (1.85% and 125.67 ppm), whereas the treatment of organic acid and the interaction between the biofertilizer

and NPK were significantly superior in the leaves content of phosphorus which gave (0.54%). The leaves content of potassium showed a significant difference among the treatments of organic acid and NPK and the triple interaction treatment by giving (1.78%).

Table (5): Effect of organic, mineral and bio-fertilizer and their interaction on content of NPK and nitrate in tubers of potato plant Burren cultivar.

Qualities	Nitrogon tuborg	nhaanhamua	notoccium	Nitroto tuboro
Quanties	initiogen tubers	phosphorus	potassium	Initiale lubers
	content	tubers content	tubers content	content <b>ppm</b>
T/ransactions				
/				
C	0.210	0.052	0.410	32.33
Н	0.243	0.054	0.413	35.33
В	0.210	0.051	0.383	32.67
НС	0.243	0.055	0.413	33.00
BC	0.253	0.053	0.413	34.00
НВ	0.236	0.051	0.400	31.33
HBC	0.273	0.055	0.420	36.00
L.S.D	0.01793	0.001779	0.01647	2.440

The results of table (5) showed no significant differences among treatments of organic acid interfered with NPK and treatment of triple interaction (biomedical,

organic and bio) in the tubers content of phosphorus by giving (0.055%) and for treatments both but there were significantly superior compare with other treatments, while the treatment of triple interaction in the tubers content of Nitrogen, potassium and nitrate which gave (0.273%, 0.420% and 36.00ppm) respectively. The reason for the superiority of fertilizer treatment in the characteristic of the marketable yield and percentage of dissolved solids for the role of humic acids in increasing the permeability of cell membranes, which increases the readiness of nutrients and this effect is associated with the function of hydroxyl and carboxyl groups effective in the plant. which is reflected positively on the vegetative total Table (2). This leads to an increase in photosynthesis and the manufacture of carbohydrates, thus increasing the marketing yield. The increase in nutrient ratios in leaves and tubers is due to the source of energy needed by soil organisms their activities, which leads to in mineralization of organic matter and thus release elements and increase their readiness (17, 18).

# conclusion

1. The Biohelth treatment was significantly superior in stem diameter and wet root weight

2. The overlap between Biohelth and NPK in the root length characteristic

3. The interaction between Humistar and NPK significantly increased the leaf content of chlorophyll, nitrogen, nitrate and potassium.

4. The triple overlap of Humistar, NPK and Biohelth in T.S.S. And the content of leaves of phosphorus and the content of tubers - of nitrogen and potassium.

# **References:**

Hussein, W. A; S, Q. Sadiq and A, D. Salman. 2015. Effect of foliar application with Agrosol and Enraizal on the quantity and quality of tomato yield. *Journal of Iraqi Agricultural Sciences*. 46 (3): 440-446

**Bowen, W.T. 2003.** Water productivity and potato cultivation. P 229 - 238. in J.W. Kijhe, R.Barke, and D. Molden. Water Productivity in Agriculture: limits and opportunities for Improvement CAB. Internationl 2003

Anonymous (2005). Humic Acid, Organic Plant Food and Root Growth Promoters. An Erth Friendly Company (ecochem) 17/2/2007. File : G : humic acid . htm. acids promote growth , yield and disease resistance of faba bean cultivated in clay soil . *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science* , 3(2):731-739

Al-Ajeel, S A-H and I. A-H. Al-Hasnawi. 2011. The effect of spraying and cultivar in LIQHUMUS on the yield and some specific characteristics of potato tubers for the two cultivars (Aladin and Burren), Kufa Journal for Agricultural Sciences. 3 (2): 117-126

Magol,A.K. Prism,T.h. Al-Sultani ,A.N. 2015. Effect of organic fertilizer and humic acid spray on some soil properties and potato yield (Solanum tubersoum L.). Al Furat Journal of Agricultural Sciences 7 (3): 36--50

Alhaddad, Z. A. 2003. Proceedings of the Arab Conference on Organic Agriculture in order to clean the environment and strengthen the economy. Tunisia, pp. 261--270

Al-Badawi, M. A. 2008. The use of mycorrhizal fungus in biological fertilization. United Arab Emirates Al Morshed Journal: 38.

Mahendran, P. P. and Kumar, N. (1998). Effect of biofertilizers on tuber

yield and certain quality parameters of potato cv. Kufri jyoti. South Indian Horticulture. 46(1-2), 47-48

Goodwin, T.W. 1976. Chemistry and Biochemistry of plant pigment. 2nded. Academic. Press. London. New York. San Francisco. p373.

Jackson, M.L. 1958. Soil Chemical analysis. Prentice Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliff, N.J. USA.225-276.

Labetowicz, J. 1988. The Chosen of analyzed Method of Soil, Plant and Fertilizer. Editor: SGGW-AR Warszawa, poland, p:119-123.

Alani, A. M. 1985. Physiology of horticultural crops after harvest. Baghdad University. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. Iraq

Al-hlfi,R.G .M.2014. Influence Physiological the nitrogen fertilization and anti-transpiration in growth and yield quantitative and qualitative of potatoes plant. Master Thesis - College of Agriculture - University of Baghdad

**Abu-Alees, S.R.M. 2016.** Growth and production path of eggplant plant using a permanent farming system. Master

Thesis - College of Agriculture -University of Baghdad

Bianciotto , V. ; E. Lumini ; L. Lanfranco ; D. Minerdi ; P. Bonfante and S. Perotto. 2000. Detection and identification of bacterial endosymbionts in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi belonging to the family Gigasporaceae. Appl. Environ. Microbial. 66 : 4503-4509.

**Boiteau, G. 2004.** Assessing CPB control options and N fertility in organic potato production. New Brunswick Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Aquaculture Canada Research Chairs Program

**Taiz, L. and E.Zeiger . 2010.** Plant Physiology, 5<sup>th</sup> . ed. Sinauer Associates. Inc. publisher Sunderland, Massachus-AHS. U.S.A

AlJumaili, A. A and M, O. S. Jumaili. 2012. Effect of foliar application with humic acid and potassium fertilizer on growth and yield of potato crop (*Solanum tubersum* L) under drip irrigation system. *Diyala Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. Iraq. 4 (1): 205– 219.

%	the ingredients	
10	Trichoderma harinam and Bacillus strains	
75	Humic acid	
5	Seaweed	
10	Water	

**Appendix 1: Specifications Biohealth**